G. R. S. 58]

Previous references. 1894. ~~191~~. (L S.)

File No. 14 of 94

Serial Nos. 1 - 10.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 - 10

Major ~~Part~~ B for February '96.

SUBJECT.

Entertainment of a Watcher to look after the Swarnamukhi Pond at Bannerghatta, Anekal taluq.

Sl. 1. 5115 / L484. 494. 24. 10. 94.

From the D. C. Bangalore

Solicits sanction to the entertainment of a Watcher @ Rs. 4/= p. m. for Swarnamukhi Pond at Bannerghatta, Anekal taluq.

Sl. 2. 7021 / L990. To the D. C. Bangalore 6. 11. 94.

Refuses to sanction the appointment referred above.

GPB—10,000—26-3-15

3. 5811 / 1174 — 546. — 7. 11. 94.

From the D. C. Bangalore.

Requests Govt to re-consider the order about refusing to sanction the employment referred to in Sl. 1.

4. 8029 / RL 1219 — 21. 11. 94.

To the D. C. Bangalore.

Requests to state the no. of Mantaks existing near the Pond and their distances from each other and the Pond; and if it is possible for one to watch all of them.

5. 7695 / L 1741 — 669. — 11. 12. 94.

From the D. C. Bangalore.

States that there are four Mantaks and that one man will be sufficient as the distance between them is very little.

6. 12905 / RL 1912 — 25. 2. 95.

To the D. C. Bangalore.

States that as bathing in the Pond can not be stopped, Govt do not see the necessity of employing a Watcher.

7. 4334 / L 1431 — 475. — 23. 11. 95.

From the D. C. Bangalore.

Submits for consideration from Mr. Puttappa, M. L. C. member, stating that the Karnam was pleased to promise to sanction a watcher for the Pond in question.

8. 6610 / RL 1507 — 7. 12. 95

To the D. C. Bangalore.

Informs that the matter will be considered if representation is again made by the D. F. Boards.

9. 6456 / L 2255 — 718 — 31. 1. 96.

From the D. C. Bangalore.

Submits copy of resolution passed by the D. F. Board soliciting a watcher on

(1)

(General Serial No. 80.)

1894-95-5/15-1

OFFICE OCT. 94

FILE No. SECTION

SERIAL No.

From The Deputy Commissioner of Bangalore District.

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

L 984

Dated 24th October 1894

Despatched 25th do

Received

Enclosures No.

General No.

No. 494

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Soliciting sanction to the entertainment of a watcher on Rs 4/- per mensem for the Swarnamukhi pond at Bainarghatta, Anekal Talukh.

L 1878 25/10/94

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Submitted for orders. This is not a municipality and the tank is probably at some distance from

the selected portion of the village. It is doubtful whether the permanent employment of a watcher is necessary even if an annual gathering is held in the place.

DRJ 30/10/94

Submitted.

If bathing is allowed there would not be much good in a sanatary point of view by a peon to prevent washing of clothes.

Such sanction may be refused. There are no paid watchers properly except in Municipalities. This is a [illegible] place.

BBP

N

30/10

Draft order for submission as above

31/10

Dy Commissioners Office.
Bangalore District.
Dated 24th October/94

D. No 494.

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Sir,

In forwarding, as per margin[x], copy of resolution passed by the Local Fund Board, Bangalore Circle on the 24th Ultimo, I have the honor to solicit sanction to the entertainment of a watcher on Rs 4/- per month for the Swarnamukhi pond at Bannerghatta in the Anekal Talukh.

2. The pond is situated on the Bannerghatta Hills and devotees largely resort to it for bathing in it, as the water thereof is held sacred. It is therefore necessary to prevent washing of clothes in the pond

[x] Proposed that a watcher may be appointed to Swarnamukhi at Bannerghatta on Rs 4/- a month.
Resolution - Sanctioned.

and to Keep it in a Sanitary
Condition.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your Most Obedient Servant.

[illegible]
Sr Dy Commissioner

BANGALORE DISTRICT.

Proceedings of the Meeting of the Local Fund Board, Bangalore Circle, held in the Deputy Commissioner's office, Bangalore, on 31st July 1895, at 8 A.M.

PRESENT.

P. N. Purnaiya, Esq., B.A., Deputy Commissioner and *Ex-officio* President.

Ex-Officio Members.

1. V. Arumachallum Mudaliar, Esq., Executive Engineer, Bangalore Division.
2. C. Raghavacharlu, Esq., B. A., Sub-Division Officer, Closepet.
3. N. Narashamaiengar, Esq., 1st General Assistant Commissioner, Bangalore.
4. B. Nagesaiyan, Esq., Amildar of Bangalore Taluk.
5. Govindappa, Esq., Amildar of Kankanhalli Taluk.
6. B. Srinivasa Rao, Esq., Amildar of Anekal Taluk.
7. C. Rajagopalacharlu, Esq., B. A., Amildar of Nelamangala Taluk.
8. B. Krishna Rao, Esq., Amildar of Dodballapur Taluk.
9. T. Chikkanna, Esq., B. A., Amildar of Devanhalli Taluk.
10. G. Hanumanta Rao, Esq., Amildar of Channapatna Taluk.
11. C. N. Chandaiya, Esq., Amildar of Magadi Taluk.

Non-Official Members.

1. D. R. Rai-Bahadur A. Narayanasami Mudaliar, Esq., Bangalore.
2. Gurikar Timmappa, Esq., Kankanhalli.
3. Srikanta Rao, Esq., Devanhalli.
4. Nandagudi Ramanna, Esq., of Hoskote Taluk.
5. Koppada Puttappa, Esq., Anekal Taluk.
6. Mastan Sahib, Esq., Channapatna Taluk.
7. D. Dasappa, Esq., Nelamangala Taluk.

Mr. B. Vasudeva Rao, Deputy Amildar of Closepet is present.

ABSENT.

1. Senior Surgeon and Sanitary Commissioner with the Government of Mysore.
2. The Amildar of Hoskote Taluk.

1. The non-official members selected the following gentlemen to be deputed to the ensuing Representative Assembly at Mysore :—

(1) Mr. Nandagudi Ramanna, and (2) Mr. Koppada Puttappa.

2. The following subjects were proposed to be submitted for discussion at the Representative Assembly :—

I. By Mr. Srinivasa Rao, Amildar of Anekal—

That Kharab lands may be given to raiyats on reduced rates for pasturage.

II. By Mr. Koppada Puttappa—

That one Brahmin servant should be employed in every Travellers' Bungalow, and that high-caste vegetarian kitchens should be built in all places where they do not exist.

III. By Mr. Srikanta Rao of Devanhalli—

That a water-shed should be provided in Devanhalli Kasaba near the Taluk Cutcherry.

IV. By Mr. Mastan Saib of Channapatna—

That a well near the Chattram at Channapatna is wanted.

V. By Mr. B. Srinivasa Rao, Amildar of Anekal—

That a watcher should be employed at Suvarnamukhi pond in Bannerghatta.

VI. By Mr. N. Ramanna of Hoskote—

That Municipalities should be instituted in every village with 800 houses, and lights should be provided in villages with 100 houses.

VII. By Mr. G. Timmappa of Kankanhalli—

That a road from Alahalli to Kaveri (Basavanakadavu) on way to Mahadevabetta be made for facility of pilgrims and for bringing out forest produce.

3. Read Arzi No. 31, dated 16th July 1895, from the Amildar of Melamangala, applying for the appointment of a care-taker on Rs. 5 to the Musafarkhana at Gollahalli and for the monthly grant of 8 annas for lighting.

Resolved that a care-taker on Rs. 5 be sanctioned for each of the Musafarkhanas in the following places and for the Travellers' Bungalow at Kodihalli :—

(1) Gollahalli. (2) Kadgodi. (3) Hindiganal. (4) Harohalli, and (5) Anekal.

4. Read Government Proceedings No. 538-45—L. F. 71-94, dated 11th July 1895, enquiring whether it would be useful to supply sets of cooking vessels to Musafarkhanas.

The Board is of opinion that vessels should be supplied to the Musafarkhanas,

5. Read Government Proceedings No. 410-17—L. F. 71-94, dated 9th July 1895, anent the cost of lighting Musafarkhanas.

The Board is of opinion that one rupee contingency, per month, may be allowed to Musafarkhanas, to meet the cost of lighting, tinning vessels, purchase of broom sticks, &c.

6. Read list of incomplete minor works (Public Works and Revenue Departments) requiring appropriation during 1895-96.

Sanctioned. Revised Budgets to be submitted.

7. Proposed by Mr. Mustan Sahib of Channapatna that Musafarkhanas at Satnur and Channapatna are necessary.

Resolved that the proposal may be considered at the next Budget meeting.

P. N. PURNAIYA, *Dy. Comr. and President.*

5

Read:-

FILE NO. L 94/2

SUBJECT 21894 dt 494

Letter dated 24 October from the Dy Comr of the Bungalow Dest, recommending the employment of a watcher on Rs 4 per mensem for the Swammamukhi pond at Bunneerghatta in the Anekal Taluk, to prevent the washing of cloths and ~~as the water thereof is held sacred and it is necessary to prevent washing of clothes in the pond~~ keeping it in sanitary condition

Rf 99°

Order thereon

7021
L2 22-9-94 9/16/11/94

Govt consider that the employment of a watcher to prevent washing of clothes in the Swammamukhee pond at Bunneerghatta is not likely to improve its sanitary condition, if bathing is allowed

A is desired that there are no pond waterhers for wells except in Bunneerghatta and that employment of a watcher for the pond at Bunneerghatta is necessary - If the bathing is allowed and the water is

Chirkeri and they are not therefore prepared to entertain the D/ Comrs proposal. It is noted that there are also present no good waterhens to conserve wells except in municipal towns.

allow the pollution at all times, as is reported, the pond ~~water~~ can keep in that pond and there is not much use in having a water point to keep muddy & clothes —

Sub P.N 1-11-94.

N Issue 3-11-94

2/11

For Chief Secy

To

The D/ Comrs Bangalore Dear

(General Serial No. 30.)

1894/95-5-814

From

The Dy Commissioner
Bangalore District

SECTION A
FILE No. 4
SERIAL No. 2

To

L 11.94

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 7th November /94
Despatched —— do ——
Received

Enclosures None

General No.
No. 5467

7021

In reply to Govt Progs: No. L7.22-94 dated 6th November 1894 —

SUBJECT.

Soliciting the Govt. to reconsider its order about refusing to sanction the employment of a watcher for the Swarna Mukhi Pond at Bannir Satta, Anekal Taluk.

L 7
D. 11 94

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office). How many Mantaps are there near the pond. Is 1 pantha for one man to watch all? ?
16/11

Submitted
16-11-94

D. No. 546

Dy. Commissioner's Office,

Bangalore 7th November 1894.

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Dewan of Mysore
Bangalore.

Sir,

In reference to the Proceedings of the Government No. 7021 L.F. 22-94 of the 6th. Instant, refusing to sanction the employment of a watcher for the Swarna Mukhi well or pond at Bannerghatta, I have the honor to request the Government to reconsider its order. I held the same opinion as the Government does now till I visited the place and on several previous occasions when the necessity was reported to me I declined

to recommend the proposal
for the acceptance of the Board;
but a visit to the place has
convinced me of the necessity.
The term bathing is apt to be
misunderstood. There is a great
deal of difference between
ceremonial bathing in the
hope of getting religious merit
and bathing for the sake of
cleanliness or after periodical
pollution. Pilgrims bathe
in the Swarna Mukhi pond
for the former purpose and
this kind of bathing does not
pollute water in the same way
as ordinary bathing does. In
the interests of sanitation
it is very desirable to prevent
the washing of dirty clothes.
Apart from it, a watcher

is necessarily wanted to look after the pond and the several District Board Mantaps near it in which Pilgrims take shelter. The pond is nearly two miles from the village and is separated from it by a high rock. If we do not keep a watcher here not only the pond but also the Mantaps will be dirtied and neglected. Continued neglect would accelerate decay and necessitate frequent repairs. I understand that when the Dewan visited the place some three years ago, he thought that a watcher was wanted.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

For the Commissioner.

10

FILE No. [illegible]

PAPER No. 4

Recd:-

Letter, dated 7th November 1894 etc 546 from the Dy Comr of the Bangalore District, ~~stating~~ explaining, with reference to Govt Proceedings dated 6th Idem etc 7021/2-922-94, the circumstances under which the employment of a watcher ~~was~~ to look after the Swamimukhi well ~~&~~ or pond at Bannerghatta, was considered necessary and stating that he will also look after the several District Board Mantaps near it: in which Pilgrims take shelter.

PCL 1117

8029 9/21/11/94 Order thereon

2791-94

The Dy Comr is requested to state the number of Mantaps existing near the pond and their distances

distances from each other and the pond. It should also be explained whether it is possible for one man to watch all of them and the pond.

17-11-94

To Chief Secy

To.

The Dy Comr. Bangalore

S.J.

12

91-94

L.F. 15.12.94

SECTION L.F. 93 Gen. Serial No. 3[illegible] 76-95

FILE No. 14 [illegible]

SERIAL No. 5 1894-95.

From The Dy Commissioner

Bangalore District

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore.

Dated 11th December 1894

Despatched 12th Do

Received

Enclosures—No.

General No.

D No. 669.

L.F. 1741

Lr 12.90

Dy

Ry

Ry

Ry

and ponds are within
a few yards from each
other, and can very easily
be watched by one man.

K Logan Jr

For Dy Commissioner.

Mr

L1741 12

Read:- Correspondence ending in the Docket. 6 dated 11 Decr 1894 No 664 from the D.C. Bangalore Distt submitting ~~additional information called for in~~ ~~Govt Proc No~~ 8029 ~~0724~~ L.F. 21-11-94

~~Nov 1894~~ in regard to his proposal to employ a watcher to look after the Swarnamukhi well or pond at Bannerghatta, Anekal Taluk

Orders thereon

12905- L.F. 22-94 9/25/2/95-

As bathing in the pond cannot be stopped in the absence of Govt-do-not see the necessity for employing a watcher.

Sri, Please see objn. as you made. Ltd.

To, The D.C. Bangalore.

for Chief Secy

SECTION Gen. Serial No. 31.]

FILE No.

SERIAL No. 7

189

OFFICE OF THE 4334 27 NOV 1895 L143 DIWAN OF MYSORE.

From The Commissioner, Bangalore District.

To Genl.

The Secretary to the Govt
~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.

Dated 23rd Nov/95

Despatched 26th Do

Received

Enclosures—No. 1

General No.

No. 475.

The Government Proceedings No. 12905 L.F. 22.94 of the 25th February last observing that the Government do not see the necessity of employing a watcher to look after the Suvarnamukhi tank at Banneergatta, Nekal Taluk.

Adverting to the above, has the honor to submit for Consideration, the

27.11.95.

Subd for order with reference to the Govt order passed by the 1st Councillor on 2.11.94, No 7021 and by the Hon'ble Dewan Bahadur on the D.F. and D. docket No 6699 of 12.12.94.

"The L.F. Inspector referred to says that the Dewan noticed people dirtying the pond, sickly people bathing in it & washing clothes in, and promised to sanction a watcher. The D.C. had brought this fact to notice in his letter No 546 of 7.11.94 in which he stated:- 'I understand that when the Dewan visited the place some three years ago he thought that a watcher was wanted.'"

27/11

29/11/95

Sir,

At the Dasara Durbar Meeting 1895 the following order was passed on this subject.

"While he considered [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[

enclosed petition from Mr Puttappa, a Local Fund Member, stating that when the Dewan visited the place with the Inspector General of Police, he was pleased to promise to sanction a watcher for the Pond.

[illegible]
for Commissioner

[illegible]

(13)

SECTION Rs Dadlet of 23rd Nov.

FILE No. K4 of 94 No 470 from the D.C. Co

SUB No. 8 Bangalore dt Submitting

a petition from Mr Puttappa

a Local Fund Member

for the employment of

a watcher to look after

the Suvarnamukhi pond

at Bamerghatta.

Anekal Taluk.

R.L. 15th 7

6610

L.F. 91 of 94.

8/7/12/95—

Order thereon

The D.C. Co

will be informed that

the matter will be con-

sidered if representation

is made by the Distt

Fund Board again

6/12 N.

for Secl Secy

To. The D.C. Co

SECTION [illegible]

Gen. 31

FILE No. [illegible]

SERIAL No. [illegible]

1895-96, 6456.

L. 2255

From The Dy. Commissioner,
Bangalore District

To The General Secretary
to the Government of Mysore

Dated 31st January 1896.

Despatched 5-2

Received

General No.

No. 718

Enclosure No. 1

Government Proceedings
No. 6610 dated 7th Ultimo,
L.F. 91-94
anent the employment
of a watcher to look
after the Suvarnamukhi
pond at Bannerghatta,
Anekal Taluk.

Adverting to the above,
has the honor to submit
the enclosed copy of reso-
-lution passed by the
District Fund Board
in the meeting held on
the 27th Instant and to

6/2/96
Submitted for orders with
previous references. Dy 7/2/96

The employment may be
sanctioned & the Dr. Com.
requested to permit out the
savings in the current grant
subject to meet the cost
Submitted to Committee
8/2/96. ZP

Solicit sanction to
entertain a watcher
on Rs 5/- per month.

Khandy

For by Commissioner

Proceedings of the meeting of the Local Fund Board, Bangalore, Circle held in the Dy Commissioner's Office, Bangalore on Monday the 27th January 1896.

× × × ×

× × × ×

IV. Read Government Proceedings No. 6610 dated 7th December 1895, anent the employment of a watcher to look after the Swarnamukhi pond at Bannerghatta, Anekal Taluk. L.F 91-94

Resolution. The proposal to entertain a watcher on Rs 5/. is approved.

× × × ×

/Signed/ R.H. Purnaiya.
Dy Commissioner
President
Bangalore

"Extract."

M Nanjunda Rao
In Dy Commissioner.

WNG

SECTION

Docket from the Dy Com Bangalore
dated 31 January 96 no D 718,
submitting as called for in
Govt Prov Dy 7.12.95 no 6610-L91.94
a copy of the resolution of the
D Board of the Bangalore Circle
at a meeting held on 27.1.96
approving of the proposal
to entertain a watcher on
Rs 5 per mensem to look
after the Suvarnamukhi
pond at Bannerghatta,
Anekal Taluk.

RJ 2393

9818-9 dt 22/2/96
L91.94

Order thereon

The employment
of the watcher referred
to in the docket read
above is sanctioned
temporarily for four months
with effect from 1st March 96.
The extra expenditure of
Rs 20 will be met from the
general savings in the
current year's D Budget

A

2 The Bangalore Circle

2 The Dy Com may include the ~~provision~~ pay of the watcher proposed in the Budget for 96-7, when final orders will be passed as to the ~~the~~ has permanent ~~retention of the land~~ retention ~~of the land~~ ~~allowed~~ ~~temporarily~~

17/9/96 JP

Jt Secy

DMG 11/12

The Dy Com Bangalore

for kolar

on Rs 5/- per mensem.

Sl. 10. 9818-9 22.2.96.
Rl 2393

To the D.C. Bangalore
" Controller.

Sanctions the employment on Rs 5/- of a watcher temporarily for four months with effect from 1-3-96; and requests the D.C. to include the pay of the watcher in the Budget for 96-97 when final orders will be passed as to his permanent retention.

Sd/-
B.B. Shankith